	Case 2:22-cv-01728-TLN-JDP Documer	nt 15 Filed 08/18/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SHEILA HALOUSEK,	No. 2:22-cv-01728-TLN-JDP
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	VERIZON,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	On August 16, 2023, the Ninth Circuit referred the matter to this Court for the limited	
18		
19		
20		
21	"An appeal may not be taken [IFP] if the trial court certifies in writing that it is not taken	
22	in good faith." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). "The test for allowing an appeal [IFP] is easily	
23	met [t]he good faith requirement is satisfied if the [appellant] seeks review of any issue that is	
24	'not frivolous.'" Gardner v. Pogue, 558 F.2d 548, 550–51 (9th Cir. 1977) (quoting Coppedge v.	
25	<i>U.S.</i> , 369 U.S. 438 445 (1962)); see also Hooker, 302 F.3d at 1092 (noting that an appeal is taken	
26	in "good faith" if it seeks review of "non-frivolous" issues and holding that if at least one issue or	
27	claim is non-frivolous, the appeal must proceed IFP as a whole). An action is frivolous "where it	
28	1	

Case 2:22-cv-01728-TLN-JDP Document 15 Filed 08/18/23 Page 2 of 2

lacks an arguable basis in either law or fact." *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989). In other words, the term "frivolous," as used in § 1915 and when applied to a complaint, "embraces not only the inarguable legal conclusion, but also the fanciful factual allegation." *Id*.

In the instant case, the magistrate judge found Plaintiff's amended complaint to be lacking any facts to support a cognizable federal claim. (ECF No. 6.) The Court adopted the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations in full and dismissed the case. (ECF No. 9.) Based on the record before it, the Court cannot conceive of any valid grounds upon which an appeal can be based. The Court therefore finds that Plaintiff's appeal is frivolous and not taken in good faith.

See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3); Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3)(A); Hooker, 302 F.3d at 1092; Neitzke, 490 U.S. at 325. Plaintiff's IFP status on appeal should therefore be revoked.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

Troy L. Nunley

United States District Judge

- 1. Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status on appeal is hereby REVOKED; and
- 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to serve this Order on the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in Case No. 23-16101.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: August 17, 2023